

No. ID/FD/201-83/51902.—Whereas the Governor of Haryana is of the opinion that an industrial dispute exists between the workman Shri Rajak and the management of M/s Oswal Steels 263/24, Faridabad, regarding the matter hereinafter appearing;

And whereas the Governor of Haryana considers it desirable to refer the dispute for adjudication;

Now, therefore, in exercise of the powers conferred by clause (d) of sub-section (1) of section 10 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947, the Governor of Haryana hereby refers to the Industrial Tribunal, Haryana, Faridabad, constituted under section 7-A of the said Act, the matter specified below, being either matter in dispute or matters relevant to or connected with the dispute as between the said management and the workman for adjudication :—

Whether the termination of services of Shri Rajak, was justified and in order? If not, to what relief is he entitled?

No. ID/AMB/264-83/51923.—Whereas the Governor of Haryana is of the opinion that an Industrial Dispute exist between the workman Shri Inder Singh and the management of M/s (i) Indian Oil Corp. Ltd., Mathura Jullundur Pipe Line Project Delhi Terminal Station Complex Bijwasan (Delhi), (ii) The Divisional Manager Indian Oil Corp. Ltd. (MJPL) Grand Trunk Road, Kuldip Nagar, Ambala Cantt, regarding the matter hereinafter appearing ;

And whereas the Governor of Haryana considers it desirable to refer the dispute for adjudication;

Now therefore, in exercise of the powers conferred by clause (c) of sub-section (i) of section 10 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947, the Governor of Haryana hereby refers to the Labour Court, Faridabad constituted,—vide Government Notification No. 11495-G-Lab/57/11245, dated 7th February, 1958 read with notification No. 5414-3Lab-68/15254, dated 20th June, 1968 under section 7 of the said Act, the matter specified below being either matter in dispute or matter relevant to or connected with the dispute as between the said management and the workman for adjudication:—

Whether the termination of service of Shri Inder Singh was justified and in order? If not, to what relief is he entitled?

MEENAXI ANAND CHAUDHRI,

Joint Secretary to Government, Haryana,
Labour Department.

The 9th September, 1983

No. ID/FD/41-83/46697.—Whereas the Governor of Haryana is of the opinion that an Industrial Dispute exist between the workman Shri Ram Narain and the management of M/s V. K. Metal Castings, Plot No. 151, Sector 25, Ballabgarh, regarding the matter hereinafter appearing;

And whereas the Governor of Haryana considers it desirable to refer the dispute for adjudication;

Now, therefore, in exercise of the powers conferred by clause (d) sub-section (1) of section 10 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947 the Governor of Haryana hereby refers to the Industrial Tribunal, Haryana, Faridabad constituted under section 7-A of the said Act the matter specified below, being either matter to dispute or matters relevant to or connected with the dispute as between the said management and the workman for adjudication :—

Whether the termination of service of Shri Ram Narain was justified and in order? If not, to what relief is he entitled?

The 19th September, 1983

No. ID/ED/171-83/48943.—Whereas the Governor of Haryana is of the opinion that an industrial dispute exists between the workman Shri Amar Singh and the management of M/s Prefect Pac Limited (i) Packing Division Plot No. 134, Sector 24, Faridabad regarding the matter hereinafter appearing;

And whereas the Governor of Haryana considers it desirable to refer the dispute for adjudication;

Now, therefore, in exercise of the powers conferred by clause (d) of sub-section (1) of section 10 of the industrial disputes Act, 1947 the Governor of Haryana hereby refers to the Industrial Tribunal, Haryana, Faridabad, constituted under section 7-A of the said Act, the matter specified below being either matter in dispute or matters relevant to or connected with the dispute as between the said management and the workman for adjudication :—

Whether the termination of service of Shri Amar Singh was justified and in order? If not, to what relief is he entitled ?